EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to: 2:16-cv-01464-Robert Eason v. Bard, et al.

No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC

AMENDED SECOND AMENDED MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff(s) named below, for their Complaint against Defendants named below, incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference (Doc. 364). Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:

1.	Plaintiff/Deceased Party:				
	Robert Eason				
2.	Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of				
	consortium claim:				
	N/A Peggy Eason				
3.	Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian,				
	conservator):				
	N/A				
4.	Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence				
	at the time of implant:				
	Georgia				

	ne time of injury:				
	Georgia				
Plai	aintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:				
	Pennsylvania				
District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent					
dire	ect filing:				
	Georgia United States District Court for the				
Sou	thern District of Georgia				
Def	Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):				
X	C.R. Bard Inc.				
X	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.				
Bas	Basis of Jurisdiction:				
X	Diversity of Citizenship				
	Other:				
a.	Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Maste				
	Complaint:				

10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):

		Recovery® Vena Cava Filter					
	□ G2 [®] Vena Cava Filter						
		G2 [®] Express	Vena Cava Filter				
		G2® X Vena Cava Filter					
		Eclipse® Ver	na Cava Filter				
	X	Meridian® Ve	Meridian [®] Vena Cava Filter				
11.	Date	Date of Implantation as to each product:					
		•					
12.	Coun	ounts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):					
	X	Count I:	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect				
	X	Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure				
		to Warn)					
	X	Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect				
	X	Count IV:	Negligence - Design				
	X	Count V:	Negligence - Manufacture				
	X	Count VI:	Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit				
	X	Count VII:	Negligence – Failure to Warn				
	X	Count VIII:	Negligent Misrepresentation				
	X	Count IX:	Negligence Per Se				

	X	Count X:	Breach of Express Warranty			
	X	Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty			
	X	Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation			
	X	Count XIII:	Fraudulent Concealment			
	X	Count XIV:	Violations of Applicable Georgia and Pennsylvania			
Law Prohibiting Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Tr						
	Practices					
		Count XV:	Loss of Consortium			
		Count XVI:	Wrongful Death			
□ Count XVII: Survival		Survival				
X Punitive Damages			nages			
		Other(s):	(please state the facts			
	supporting this Count in the space immediately below)		is Count in the space immediately below)			
13.	13. Jury Trial demanded for all issues so triable?					
	X	Yes				
		No				
RESI	PECTFU	ULLY SUBM	ITTED this 15th day of July, 2016.			
			BABBITT & JOHNSON, P.A.			
By: /s/ Joseph R. Johnson Joseph R. Johnson (Fla. Bar No. 372250) Suite 100						

1641 Worthington Road West Palm Beach, FL 33409